

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/612,696	WOOD ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ernest F. Karlsen	2829	

All Participants:

(1) Ernest F. Karlsen.

(2) Stephen A. Gratton.
Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 22 September 2005
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:


Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

ERNEST KARLSEN
PRIMARY EXAMINER


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner called Mr. Gratton on September 22, 2005 to discuss recent restriction requirements and Applicants' response thereto. On reconsideration Mr. Gratton changed his election of Species 4 (Claims 72-75) and Subspecies 1 (Figures 1-3) with traverse to election of Species 4 (Claims 72-75) and Subspecies 1 (Figures 1-3) without traverse. Mr. Gratton withdrew any and all arguments that the species and subspecies are not patentably distinct.


ERNEST KARLSEN
PRIMARY EXAMINER